

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,238	09/28/2001	Hiroshi Shirai	51451 US	5848	
75	90 04/15/2003				
Tyco Technology Resources			EXAMINER		
Suite 450 4550 New Lind			VU, HIEN D		
Wilmington, DI	19808		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
			8				
Offic Assian Symmony	09/966,238	SHIRAI ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Hien D. Vu	2833					
Peri d for Reply	p and on the cover one time						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	March 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	or						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptance		he Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional appli	ication).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/966,238

Art Unit: 2833

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The amendment after final filed on 3/13/03 has been entered.

2. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6, line 6, the feature "whose rear end is held so that the rear end can slide" is confused and unclear as to how the rear end could slide when it is held". Applicant should clarify this matter; line 9, "the rear" should be --a rear--. Claim 7, line 2, "the locking part" lacks an antecedent basis.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 4. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirai.

Insofar as the claims can be understood due to the indefiniteness above, the disclosure of Hirai shows a complete response to each and every element set forth in the claims. For example: Fig. 1-9 show an insulating housing 2, contacts 3, a shielding shell (4a, 4b, 5), a conductive latching arm 6, an engaging part 6a, a press part 6, a rear end of press part being engaged and sided on a surface 7 of the shielding shell.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,238

Art Unit: 2833

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirai.

To form the engaging part 6a of the latching arm to be an engaging hole instead of projection would have been obvious of modification since much change would provide same result and is old and well known in the art.

- 8. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Maitani et al and Kawano et al are cited for disclosure of electrical connectors with lack means.
- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hien Vu at telephone number (703) 308-2009.

Art Unit: 2833

H VU/pj

04/02/03

e an Afra

Hien VII Primary Examinar